

My reasons for withholding payment of council tax as per [s13A of the Local Government Finance Act 1992](#) (see www.legislation.gov).

1. The fact that there is a House of Lords Judgment in force which resulted in the Petitioning of HM The Queen under Chapter 61 of Magna Carta 1215 in 2001 by a lawfully constituted Barons Committee. I have transferred my allegiance to that Committee until such time as a Constitutional Convention orders law abiding subjects to resume their previous relations with Elisabeth Saxe-Coberg-Gotha.

2. The prohibition of the finance of terrorism contained in the Terrorism Act 2000.

See 'A Taxpayer's Guide to Terrorism'

Terrorism is the threat or use of firearms or explosives endangering a person's life for the purpose of advancing a political, religious, racial or ideological cause.

Section 1 Terrorism Act 2000

Historic legal ruling

An extraordinary event occurred recently which could end Britain's involvement in war. In a remarkable legal judgement[1] on the definition of terrorism in the Terrorism Act 2000 the UK Supreme Court ruled that the military activities of the British Government are terrorism:

"the legislation does not exempt, nor make an exception, nor create a defence for, nor exculpate what some would describe as terrorism in a just cause. Such a concept is foreign to the 2000 Act. Terrorism is terrorism, whatever the motives of the perpetrators. ...

Terrorist action outside the United Kingdom which involves the use of firearms or explosives, resulting in danger to life or creating a serious risk to the health or safety to the public in that country, or involving (not producing) serious personal violence or damage to property, or designed seriously to interfere with an electronic system, 'is terrorism'...

<http://www.makewarshistory.co.uk/?p=715>

Paying tax is a crime when the money is used for the purposes of terrorism

When Parliament enacted the Terrorism Act 2000 it made it a criminal offence for a person to demand, collect or pay money for the purposes of terrorism.

Section 15 of the Terrorism Act. Fund-raising.

(1)A person commits an offence if he –

(a)invites another to provide money or other property, and

(b)intends that it should be used, or has reasonable cause to suspect that it may be used, for the purposes of terrorism.

(2)A person commits an offence if he –

(a)receives money or other property, and

(b)intends that it should be used, or has reasonable cause to suspect that it may be used, for the purposes of terrorism.

(3)A person commits an offence if he –

(a)provides money or other property, and

(b)knows or has reasonable cause to suspect that it will or may be used for the purposes of terrorism.

(4)In this section a reference to the provision of money or other property is a reference to its being given, lent or otherwise made available, whether or not for consideration.

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2000/11/section/15>

I have reasonable cause to suspect that funds gathered by The Crown in the form of taxation are being used for the financing of terrorism, and that this includes a portion of Council Tax. I therefore have no lawful option but to withhold.

3. I have reason to suspect that Ashford Council is co-operating with the United Nations, a foreign potentate in respect of UN Agenda 21 and sustainable development. Such co-operation is forbidden by the Bill of Rights.

Unlawful implementation of UN Agenda 21. UN Agenda 21 sustainable development is a global plan that is implemented locally, but payments for this come from our LOCAL Council Tax. That means UN Agenda 21 is implemented by Tenterden Town, Ashford Borough and Kent County Councils. Since the application of UN Agenda 21 sustainable development means sending **local** cash to a UN NGO outside of this country and involves infringements of our Common Law rights and therefore by definition attacks our Sovereignty it is treasonous. Money is wasted on UN Agenda 21 in many areas, for example on carbon monitoring, which is rooted in the fraud of 'global warming', a ruse that has been exposed not least because there has officially been no rise in temperature in the world for 20 years. UN Agenda 21 sustainable development has not been debated locally, not reported on and has been applied by stealth.

There has been no global warming for nearly 20 years:

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-2740788/Global-warming-pause-19-years-Data-reveals-Earth-s-temperature-remained-CONSTANT-1995.html>

4. Ashford council should apply to the Treasury for lawful money to fund all activities.

There is no need for a Council Tax to be raised. Finance for public expenditure of all kinds should be by debt free money in amounts authorised by Parliament (to avoid inflation) and created by The Sovereign as a lawful exercise of the Common Law Royal Prerogative.

This was last done to save the Nation at the beginning of the Great War in 1914. The treasury Secretary, whose name appeared on the notes, was John Bradbury. There is a substantial campaign to restore lawful "Bradbury Pound":

<http://www.ukcolumn.org/bring-back-the-bradbury>

5. Subversion by Common Purpose. Seditious is a Common Law criminal offence. Here is a definition of seditious: conduct or speech inciting people to rebel against the authority of a state or monarch. Local taxes are used to pay a political charity (Common Purpose), which has an international communitarian agenda, to teach people 'to lead beyond their authority'. Common Purpose is also about bringing 'change' - that is 'deep sustainable complex change' to be applied 'locally and internationally' (see CIVILIA financial report). They do not tell us what 'change' this is, and so we have not voted for it, nor have we discussed it. Here is a quote from Common Purpose website, under the sub heading, 'Why do we resist change?' - 'Recognising behaviours such as denial, anger, confusion, stress, crisis, acceptance and new confidence can often allow you to anticipate and overcome objections, getting you ahead of the curve'. From the same item: your capacity to lead change - not just manage it.' It is therefore hidden change, being done behind the voter's backs by a political charity, which is subversion being committed by a private organisation through local government.

6. Electromagnetic radiation - mobile phone masts all over the county of Kent, including Tenterden. We live in a soup of electromagnetic radiation. This is dangerous, against all measures of health and safety, and has been allowed by the council. That means health and safety are not doing their work and the council is operating against the interests of the people in the town. See the document, which is available on the internet, entitled, '[Studies: Health Effects of Electromagnetic Radiation & Microwaves](#)'.

7. Promotion of fascism. Fascism is by definition government working in cahoots with business. The council is involved in promoting the interests of some people more than others. An example is the refusal by the council for Mr. Hoad to be allowed to build a warehouse, which would be organic economic development at a truly local level, and yet, against the wishes of the people of Tenterden and the vast majority of people in the Chilmington Green area the council gave the go ahead for huge corporations, subsidised by our taxes to build houses. This happened when the council is legally bound to represent the best interests of the people, which it clearly did not. And so, the council is breaching all relevant laws and codes of conduct and is promoting what is unfair against the interests of the individuals and is against the British Constitution.

8. Displaying EU flag in the borough council chamber. This EU flag suggests who the council works for - an international organisation that has not been audited and is used to undermine our constitution. Some say that this flag is the flag of the Council of Europe not the European Union, but the Council of Europe is behind the European Court of Human Rights, which undermines our constitution. This flag must be removed.

9. Self defence, which, as you know, reverses the burden of proof onto the Crown to prove otherwise. I submit that since I have reasonable grounds to believe that a proportion of Council Tax (in the form of Vat on goods and services expended by the Council for example) goes to central government, I am entitled as noted above to withhold payments as an act of defence of my life, liberty and property.

10. As I have stated before, you have a legal obligation to place my application before the Billing Authority, not take the matter straight to enforcement. Your action in respect of issuing a summons is unlawful and void. A void order does not have to be obeyed because, for example, in *Crane v Director of Public Prosecutions*[1921] it was stated that if an order is

void ab initio (from the beginning) then there is no real order of the Court, because of your failure to comply with a statutory requirement, (s.13A) (Upjohn LJ in *Re Pritchard* [1963]).

11. It is not lawful for local councils to levy taxes without it being debated and passed in Parliament, which does not happen. Hence, council tax is along the same lines as a protection racket. See *R. v Hampden* below:

In *R v Hampden*, Ship Money Case (1637) 3 State Tr 826, it was held by seven judges out of twelve that the King could levy ship money. The Long Parliament subsequently passed an Act declaring the writs imposing ship money and the proceedings in *R v Hampden* supra 'contrary to the laws and statutes of the realm, the right of property, the liberty of the subject, and the Petition of Right', and vacated and cancelled the judgment: see the Ship Money Act 1640 (repealed). Parliament's consent to a power to demand payment must be expressed in clear terms: *Congreve v Home Office* [1976] QB 629, [1976] 1 All ER 697, CA (the Home Secretary's discretionary power to revoke a television licence could not lawfully be used to compel the citizen to pay an additional licence fee which was not clearly authorised by statute)...".