

Copies to: Clive Betts MP, Heidi Alexander MP, Heather Wheeler MP, George Hollingberry MP, Simon Danczuk MP, David Heyes MP, Roberta Blackman-Woods MP

Dear Mr. Boles,

It was exciting to see you perform in the lords yesterday on the BBC.

You did so with confidence and vim, and I was impressed with the committee's questioning.

There were, however, a few things that concerned me.

First your statement, spoken with perhaps a little too much of that aforementioned vim, that local councils cannot do 'whatever the hell they wanted' smacked just a little of Stalinist overtones.

I was also concerned about your regular mentioning of the Localism Act, which, if we go by its name, should be about empowering counties and boroughs and individuals.

But then if we want to explore the truth of this act we might take into account, for example, that Emeritus Professor at the LSE George Jones says that it should have been [called the Centralism Act](#). For it is far more about centralism than individual rights and decentralisation.

We only need to look at a [quotation from a website](#) concerned with the massive unnecessary (according to the locals here - the people who count) building development in my area (one of the many top down building directives in our area, which are not backed by any industry or small business growth):

The Localism Bill does encourage local people to be part of the decision making process, but it does not give the power to veto Chilmington Green. The Localism Bill expressly provides that the new style Neighbourhood Development Plans (NDP), must conform with both the adopted Core Strategy and national planning guidance. The development of Chilmington Green is a central part of the adopted Core Strategy for Ashford Borough Council, and thus must be included in any NDP. Greg Clark MP, the decentralisation minister has also stated that if an area needs more homes, then a NDP cannot be a means to frustrate this need.

It does not take a keen eye here to see the reality of the deceit of 'Localism'.

Again, I suppose, this is not surprising.

You are no doubt aware of [U.N. Agenda 21](#), which is, in short, a post industrial plan to re-engineer the whole world - a plan of total control by a global government. It is, as the respected US [Congressman Ron Paul stated recently](#), part of the overall attack on national sovereignty. It is also about 'sustainable development', which means it is about the unnecessary (for the British people) building schemes you are impatiently pushing. It is also about [Communitarianism](#), which many consider to be the stealthy politics of globalism, totalitarianism and Agenda 21.

Congratulations with getting to Bilderberg, by the way, not that I approve of breaking the Logan Act and very obviously making policy abroad with unelected individuals. Nor am I pleased with Bilderberg's history regarding sovereignty and their secret plans about the EU ([see here](#)).

When we saw you on the Bilderberg list we knew you would be propelled into the limelight - like Cameron was after he went there as an unknown; the same happened with Blair and Clinton and many others.

Anyway, if we go by the people who were at Bilderberg this year we can hazard a guess that Agenda 21 was being discussed. Of course, we can never know **precisely** what was discussed, because Bilderberg meetings are kept very secret, the minutes never published.

No matter, was Agenda 21 discussed and how will it impact on our sovereignty and freedom? I ask this because part of Agenda 21 is about massive centralised building schemes, not dissimilar to the ones you are pushing very hard indeed.

Are you for a global government?

Would you like to see all land purchased by and controlled by the government - global government, that is - because all land is planned to be controlled via U.N. Agenda 21 and its principles?

Are you a Communitarian in favour of global government and not a Conservative, with Burkean principles of liberty? If so, why do you say you are Conservative?

Did you know that to improve an economy you don't simply ease a few building regulations so that people can build an extension (which they can't afford because of the shenanigans of the Bank of England, the Treasury, international banks, government, Carbon Taxes and EU regulations)?

To improve our economy all we need to do is get out of the EU (saving £50 million a day and getting rid of restrictive regulations), re-introduce banking regulations (and arrest all those involved with fraud in the City), get rid of the Carbon Tax fraud which is designed to destroy our industry (all part of Agenda 21, [as Maurice Strong said](#)), stop quantitative easing (another fraud), kick out Agenda 21, slash the size of government and lower taxes so that small business may once again gain traction. History shows very clearly that [government revenue rises when taxes are lowered](#).

I suppose what I am trying to say is that whilst I am pretty clear where you are coming from, I would like you to come out into the open and admit it. I mean, if I go by your actions and your words, you are not a Conservative at all - you are a Communitarian. Nor are you working for our nation or the people of our nation or the liberty of the people of our nation. Indeed, it appears to me and many others that you are working very much against it in favour of globalism.

Now, I really don't mind your position, as it is your choice, but I would rather you made it absolutely clear what you are doing. If you want localism then don't dress up totalitarianism as if it is localism. If you want a totalitarian communitarian global dictatorship under Agenda 21 and run in the main by the big international banks, then please be open and honest about it. On the other hand, if you are a Conservative, then please act, speak and behave like one.

Finally, I am one of a very rapidly growing number of millions across the world, including many in Britain, who are aware of U.N. Agenda 21 and the global plan. So, again, I urge you to show your colours so that we and everyone else can all be clear about **exactly** who you are.

Yours sincerely, David Cammegh